

GATEWAY PROGRAM

	AIR LAND RAIL SEA		
	BIDDERS GDC23-002 – GATEWAY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION – TO	QUESTION LOG NELLE AVENUE OVERHEAD BRIDGE AND UTILITY RELOCATIONS	
QUES#	QUESTION	RESPONSE	
1	We would like to request access to inspect the site for Contract GCD23-002 Tonnelle Ave Overhead Bridge and Utility Relocation. We'd like to visit the job location, specifically the area that superviously built and is to be demolished per this contract. This visit would help us better understand the project and thus price the project accordingly.	A site visit is being scheduled. Please continue to monitor Bonfire for updates.	
2	Is there a pre-bid meeting for this project? When is it.	See Addendum No. 2	
3	Is the attached only for MWBE/SBE/SDVOB firms? I am trying to register for the event through the Webex link but the last question asks whether any of the certifications apply to us. They do not. There is no N/A option and the registration will not let me proceed until I choose a selection for "Check all certifications that apply".	Resolved.	
4	Drawing CO2-CT-2123 identifies stage 1 sheeting as installed in previous contract (per note 2), can drawings be provided showing, limits of installed sheeting, material used (type, thickness, etc) and design details (cales, etc.)?	Sheeting is a matter of the contractor's means and methods and was not part of the design in the ARC project. No representations are made concerning any sheeting that might be remaining.	
5	On Drawing C02-CT-2102 note 4, reference is made to a remedial action work plan, this document was not included in bid package. Can this information be provided?	There is not a remedial action work plan and this drawing will be modified to remove the reference to one.	
6	We have not been able to find geotechnical information in the data provided, this will be necessary for shoring design, utility installation and rock excavation.	See Addendum No. 1	
7	Drawing C02-C7-2123 identifies Stage 1 sheeting has been installed in the previous contract (per note 2). The previous Contractor's design should have been submitted and approved prior to installation, and asbuilt records provided for the left-in-place elements. In the best interest of all parties involved with this current RFP, and to mitigate any future conflicts, please provide the previous Contractor's design submission showing the dimensions, type, hickness, and design calcs used under the prior contract for consideration, incorporation, and conformance into this Contract. The Authority's previous response does not take into account that this design was submitted for the record under the prior Contract.	See Addendum No.1.	
8	Please identify the Railroad company that operates the tracks along the western border of the site located at 2001 Tonnelle Ave. a. Will the Contractor need to provide any Railroad Protective Liability insurance for working within 50ft of these tracks? b.lf so, please identify the insurance requirements, number of trains per day, and speed of trains on this track system.	Conrail Shared Assets (New Jersey) operates the tracks adjacent to the western border of the site. The New York, Susquehanna and Western Railway operates the track west of the Conrail tracks. Railroad Protective Insurance is not required unless the Contractor's means and methods encroach on the 50' clearance from the ROW.	
9	The Contract requires the demolition and removal of the existing abutments and pier built in place under the previous ARC contract: a. Under what conditions would the Contractor be able to incorporate these existing foundation elements into the new permanent bridge structure? b. Can the Authority certify that these foundation elements have been built on suitable subgrade meeting the bearing pressures of the new design loading? c. Can the Authority certify that these foundation elements have been built to meet the pressures of the new design loading?	(a) If the Contractor were to submit a Value Engineering Change Proposal for the re-use of the existing substructure, the Contractor would have to demonstrate that the existing substructure is suitable for the Tonnelle Avenue Overhead Bridge. (b) No. (c) No.	
10	Does the Contractor need any permissions to visit the project site on their own? 1. Within the Contract Information Summary, Item 9 – Contract Type, it list this Contract as a	A site visit is being scheduled. Bidders are not permitted to visit private property areas of the site unescorted.	
11	Design-Bid-Build. a.Please confirm that the design provided within the Contract drawings are 100% complete in their design of permanent elements. b.Please identify the elements to be constructed and/or scope of work for which the Contractor will be responsible for the completion of design under this contract.	The drawings are 100 percent complete in the design of permanent elements. The bidder is not expected to include cost for the completion of any of the design embodied in the drawings and specifications.	
12	"Has the design of this project been approved by NJDOT for construction? a. Please elaborate on what permits will the Contractor be obligated to secure from the NJDOT? b. Have the construction drawings been approved by the NJDOT? c. Have the traffic control plans been approved by the NJDOT? d. Have the trampary signal documents and drawings been approved by the NJDOT? e. Have the drawings been approved by the appropriate Soil Conservation and DEP agencies? Please provide the associated permits."	This is not an NIDOT project and NIDOT will not own the new bridge. NIDOT has reviewed and commented on the drawings and specifications, and changes have been made to accommodate NIDOT's concerns that will appear in an Addendum. NIDOT approval is pending resolution of inserts for the temporary construction barrier, and a jurisdictional agreement between Antrak and NIDOT. (a) The only permit that is needed from the NIDOT is a Highway Occupancy Permit that the EOR will obtain for the contractor. Therefore, the contractor should not be responsible for obtaining any permits from the NIDOT. (b) (c) (d) Refer to response for (a) (c) The plans have been reviewed by the permitting agencies and all necessary permits have been obtained. Copies of these permits were uploaded into the NIDOT's PMRS system. Concerning Contamination/HAZMAT, no NIDEP approvals are required.	
13	Please direct us to or provide the geotechnical reports and soil boring logs associated with the required work under this Contract.	See Addendum No. 1	
14	Pertaining to bid items 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3, please provide the investigation reports and testing data to determine where and what types of contamination and/or hazardous materials we will be expected to encounter.	Investigation Reports, Testing Data and other contaminant information will be included with the Materials Management Plan (MMP) being prepared pursuant to NJDEP's Linear Construction Technical Guidance. *See Addendum No. 5	
15	In consideration of the information to be provided by the Authority, the need for the Authority to conduct a site visit on private property, and that the bid date is only two weeks from the writing of this RFL please consider postponing the bid date to two weeks after the Contractor's receipt of the requested information and the site visit.	See Addendum No. 4	
16	With regards to maintenance and protection of traffic to be provided by the Contractor, a significant amount of lance closures and flagging will be required throughout the duration of this project for operations to be performed by both the Contractor and third party utility companies not working as our subcontractors. Though the Contractor can calculate the needs for his own overations, we have no way of knowing the needs of the utility companies and the durations required. State roadways require uniformed traffic control officers to direct traffic, while flagmen are needed to spot the movement of construction equipment in and out of the workzones. Therefore, please address the following: a Will each third party utility company be responsible for the cost of providing their own setup and removal of traffic control devices, performing lane closings, and providing police officers and flagmen? Abel each traffic control devices, performing lane closings, and providing police officers and flagmen? Delease consider creating unit price bid items and quantities for both Traffic Control Lane Closures.—Each, Uniform Traffic Control Police Officers—Manhours, and Flagmen—Manhours. Closures—Each, Uniform Traffic Control Police Officers—Manhours, and Flagmen—Manhours. Closures—Each, Uniform Traffic Control Police Officers—Manhours, then kindly prescribe the number of lane closures, and the number of days we should earry in our Lump Sum pricing to level the pricing at time of bid, since we have no way to determine these costs to be performed by utility companies outside the Contractor's control. d.For scheduling purposes and associated cost of determining management and supervision, between the number of determining management and supervision, between the number of calendar days we should assume for each third party utility company to perform their respective scope.	investigation Reports, Testing Data and other contaminant information will be included with the Materials Management Plan (MMP) being prepared pursuant to NJDEP's Linear Construction Technical Guidance. *See Addendum No. 5	

18	In that there's no surcharge fill material on this project, and no geotechnical and/or monitoring instruments are identified to be installed on the plans, please remove 31 09 12- Geotechnical instrumentation from the Technical Specifications to avoid any confusion.	See Addendum No. 7
19	Considering a site visit is still to be held, please consider rescheduling both the current bid opening and the Bidders Question submission deadlines by at least 4 weeks.	See Addendum No. 4
	It doesn't appear as though the cross-section account for the excavation needed to construct the	
20	footings, or the slabs between the footings. We cannot accurately bid this work as is shown due to the discrepancy between the cross sections and the structure plans. Please revise the cross-	See Addendum No. 4
	sections to show the required foundation and concrete slab excavation, and confirm that this excavation has been accounted for in the quantiles of Items 31.3 and 31.5 or adjust the quantities	
	for these items if necessary.	
21	Can you please provide details of the in-place concrete-encased ductbank between PSE&G manholes #26 & #28, such as height, width, and depth from top or roadway to top of the concrete-	See Addendum No. 4
	encased ductbank? Are any steel plates in place above the concrete-encased ductbanks? Item Number 31.2 – Site Grading is a lump sum quantity, but the exact extent of this work is not	
22	made clear via the specs or drawings. Please elaborate as to what is to be included in this bid item that separates it from the quantified earthwork items?	Site grading is defined in Specification Section 31 11 00.
23	Information regarding the proposed work appears to be missing from several plan sheets (Ex. Drawing No. C02-CT-2141 and 2150). It looks like one or more layers is turned off. Please	Drawings will be re-issued in a future Addendum. *See Addendum No. 7
	review and re-issue corrected plan sheets Please provide the stage 1 sheeting design calculations and drawings that were approved from the	
	ARC project so we can develop an accurate cost to remove the sheeting. For example the section that was installed parallel to Rt 9 between the abutments has to be removed for final underpass	
24	that was instance parallel to K. Detweet the adoutiers has to be reinvent on final under pass configuration. We need the details of the sheeting from the ARC project to estimate this cost. Question #7 of the Q&A requests similar information as above and the response to this question	See Addendum No. 1
24	Question #7 of the QuAT requests similar annotation as above and the response to this question suggests it was issued in Addendum No.1. Although as-builts were provided in Addendum I, they do not provide any information as to what type of sheeting system has been installed, the	See Addendari No. 1
	limits of sheeting installed (and/or what portion if any was removed) and therefore we cannot develop an accurate cost to remove the sheeting.	
25	Please clarify whether the sleeves in the abutment backwalls for electrical conduit are to be steel	PVC, per current PSE&G standard. *See Addendum No. 4
	as shown on Plan Page 125 or if they're to be PVC as shown on Plan Page 128. Appropriate callouts will be added: The 3.5' thickness of Class B concrete fill between	Appropriate callouts will be added: The 3.5' thickness of Class B concrete fill between substructure footings is non-
26	substructure footings is non-reinforced concrete fill with the limits matching the full length of abutment; and a step detail as shown in Section M on DWG. No. ST-2520 shall be also provided	reinforced concrete fill with the limits matching the full length of abutment; and a step detail as shown in Section M on DWG. No. ST-2520 shall be also provided for this Class B concrete fill.
	for this Class B concrete fill. "Regarding the underground electrical relocations as shown on plan sheets 50 and 51 please the	
27	clarify the following. a) Is the existing ductbank on the western portion of the proposed bridge concrete encased full	(a) Concrete encasement does not go under the bridge deck. (b) We have no information about southbound Tonnelle Avenue.
	length from MH#26 to MH#28 or is it only encased for the length of the proposed bridge? b) Does the ductbank between MH#26 and MH#28 currently have cables within the conduits	(b) We like its information door southboard Tolliele Archice.
28	" The retaining wall working points (WP7, WP8 AND WP9) as shown on plan sheet 145 do not	Drawing will be updated with WP8, WP9, WP10. See Addendum No. 7
29	match the wall working points shown on plan sheet 23. Please revise. Sheet 25 (Drawings C02-CT-2150) has arrows calling out striping that is not shown. Is the	See Addendum No. 7
27	proposed striping intentionally not shown or is there an error on this drawing? It is unclear who is reponsible for the constructing the PSE&G UG (12)5" concrete-encased	See Addendaria 10.7
30	ductbank from PSE&G MH #26 to the South Abutment backwall and from PSE&G MH #28 to the North Abutment backwall once the bridge-mounted (12)5" FRE conduits are in-place. Will it	The Contractor's subcontractor, pre-approved by PSE&G, will construct the duct bank. Refer to Specification Section 33 71 19.
	be the Contractor's hired pre-qualified PSE&G Subcontractor, or will it be PSE&G itself? Can you please provide the details for this new section of concrete-encased ductbank?	
31	Can you please provide the size and type of pipe shown on plan Page C02-CT-2142 (pg 23 of plans) at "Access Road" station 10+90?	The pipe is 12" RCP. A callout has been added to CT-2142 and a new profile has been added to CT-2143. These drawings will be re-issued in an Addendum. See Addendum No. 7
32	Note 3 on plan sheet 19 of 158 states to hand dig within 3' of anticipated footing location. This note is not referenced anywhere on this plan sheet as being applicable. Please clarify the intent of	Note 2 is to be deleted. Cristian (auti-instead fraction in to be demandished
32	this note and where it applies to the footings? Moreover, the plan sheet shows the proposed bridge footing within rock so confirm the intent is not to hand dig rock.	Note 3 is to be deleted. Existing/anticipated footing is to be demolished.
	Plan Sheet 16 shows the bidder to "Remove Underground Septic Tank", and "Remove Suspected	As-builts are not available. Refer to Note 4 on this drawing, which will be re-issued in an Addendum to remove
33	Tank near Pipe Penetrations". Please provide as-built details of these features so the bidders can accurately price this work. If as-builts are not available, please add an Allowance Item to cover	reference to a Remedial Action Work Plan. This is demolition work as defined in Specification Section 02 41 00, and payment will be as defined therein.
	this work, or clarify that this work will be paid as Compensation for Extra Work. Plan Sheet 16 shows the bidder to "Remove 24" thick reinforced concrete slab and any supporting	
34	structures". Please provide as-built details of this structure so the bidders can accurately price this work. Bidders have no way to price the removal of "any supporting structures". If as-builts are	As-builts are not available. Refer to Note 4 on this drawing, which will be re-issued in an Addendum to remove reference to a Remedial Action Work Plan. This is demolition work as defined in Specification Section 02 41 00, and
	not available, please add an Allowance Item to cover this work, or clarify that this work will be paid as Compensation for Extra Work.	payment will be as defined therein.
	Note 2 on Plan Sheet 19 states the Stage 1 Temporary Excavation Support from the ARC project	
	is still in place, and contractor may extend and reuse this support for this project. Please confirm this means The Partnership has reviewed the design of this existing Stage 1 Temporary Excavation	
	Support and confirms it is adequate for this project and we only need to extend it as required. If The Partnership has not certified the existing temporary excavation support in Stage 1 can be	Note 2 on Plan Sheet 19 does not state that the existing sheeting is "adequate for this project." As stated in Note 2,
35	reused for this project, we need to know details of what was left in the ground and exact location. If the existing temporary excavation support has tie back anchors we will not be able to install	Contractor may extend and re-use existing support if possible." The Engineer of Record makes no representations of the adequacy or suitability of existing sheeting.
	new sheeting behind the existing, or easily remove the existing sheeting. Therefore, the new support system would have to go in front of the existing. Please confirm the footing and abutment	
	designs have been modified from the ARC project to provide clearance for new sheeting to be installed in front of the existing sheeting, as there appears to be limited clear room between the	
	footing work and existing temporary excavation support sheeting. Note 4 on Plan Sheet 19 states the Permanent Excavation Support shall be constructed to top of	
	rock. Sections CT-1 and CT-2 on the same plan sheet appear to show some of that excavation support going into the existing rock. Please revise the elevation sections CT-1 and CT-2.	The excavation support shown going into existing rock is only as needed for the construction of the abutment for the
36	accordingly. Also, please clarify what circumstance/design loads the 41' and 55' long sections of	the executation support shown going into existing took is only as needed to the constitution of the administration to the utility support structure. The Permanent Excavation Support is to be designed for the future open cut excavation to El. 314.
	Permanent Excavation support should be designed for? Are they just designed for the excavation to the grades/limits included in this contract as shown on Plan Sheet 22, or is there future work in this area they need to be designed for?	
37	Inis area they need to be designed for? Please confirm there are no mass concrete requirements for any of the concrete structures.	There are no mass concrete requirements.
38	Please clarify measurement & payment limits for Item No 32.2- Concrete Barrier Curb. Is this just supposed to be for the median barrier on the bridge structure, or does it include the portion on the	The quantity for median barrier curb beyond concrete approach slabs should be 781 LF (end to end) - 145 LF (on
38	approach slab, or the roadway portion? Please clearly identity the limits that are measured and paid under Item No 32.2 versus the limits that are to be included in one of the Lump Sum items.	bridge deck and approach slabs) = 636 LF.
39	The storm drainage run near Sta 1+00 as shown on Plan Sheet 23 does not call out pipe type/size	The pipe is 12" RCP. A callout has been added to CT-2142 and a new profile has been added to CT-2143. These
40	or outlet and inlet structures. Please provide this information. Various reinforcement steel bars shown in the bar lists on Plan Sheets 143 & 144 are denoted as "Horizontal Temp, Reinforcement" (see Note 1 on pages 143 and 143). Please clarify what is	drawings will be re-issued in an addendum. See Addendum No. 7 Temp. (Temperature) Reinforcement was noted to distinguish from main reinforcement. Only used for notation, no
40	"Horizontal Temp. Reinforcement" (see Note 1 on pages 143 and 143). Please clarify what is meant by "Temp. Reinforcement" There are multiple instances in the bar lists on Plan Sheets 140-144 where the bar mark size does	material differences from other bars. The note will be updated for clarification in the drawings. See Addendum No. 7
41	There are multiple instances in the bar lists on Plan Sheets 140-144 where the bar mark size does not match the bar size shown in column 2 of the bar lists. For example on Plan Sheet 144, bar mark 19SA01T should be a #19 bar however it is listed as a #16 bar. Please correct the	Bar Sizes indicated by Bar Marks are correct. Column 2 will be updated to match bar mark sizes.
	inconsistencies.	•
	"The Structural drawings clearly identify Construction Stages 1, 2A, 2B, and 3. The Roadway/Civil drawings do not match that staging and generally only show Stages 1, 2 and 3. We believe Stage 3 roadway drawings would basically have an "early Stage 3" which would be	MPT for staging on civil drawings is focused on traffic control and safety The contractor has the freedom to decide
42	equivalent to Stage 2B and a ""later Stage 3" which would match Stage 3 on the Structural	what to do in the available construction area, especially for structures that are staged according to structure excavation, component cast or assembly, and joint locations. Therefore the staging in the Civil/MPT drawiings, and the staging in
	drawings we. Please confirm and correct Roadway/Civil drawings if needed.	the Structural drawiings, are not identical.
	Plan Sheet 19 shows the locations and limits of the needed Temporary & Permanent Excavation	
	Support. We believe this plan sheet is missing the Temporary Excavation Support needed to build the concrete abutments in Stage 2B as per the Structural drawings. These abutments are required	Temporary Excavation Support shown is suggested and dependent on the Contractor's means and methods. The
43	for the utility support and must be built prior to the Stage 3 work. Therefore, Temporary Excavation Support is need to isolate the abutment excavation, and support the existing	Permanent Excavation Support is required as shown.
	soil/utilities until they are moved onto the utility structure. Please correct Plan Sheet 19 accordingly.	

9

44	The bid documents and the as-builts and geotechnical information provided with Addendum 1 do not include any information regarding the various fill/embankment materials that were used in the ARC project to backfill the Stage I construction and to build the embankment slope. Please provide the approved analytical information for the materials that were used to fill the Stage I ARC project and build the embankment. Also, in that the bid document do not provide an Earthwork Summary that the bidders can use to correlate to the quantities of Items 31.3 to 31.5 please confirm that if the existing on-site material is determined to be unsatiable for whatever reason (unsound geotechnically and/or environmentally) for re-use on this project, then the contractor will be paid for imported fill/embankment material under Item No. 31.4 Embankment.	Refer to Specification Section 31 23 50.
45	After seeing the site, there are several busses adjacent to the site. Please clarify the number of	There are 7 buses to be disposed of.
46	busses that the contractor will be required to dispose of. Section 31 09 13 of the specifications describes monitoring of existing and new geotechnical devices. 1. Please identify on the plans the locations of all devices that fall under this Section. 2.	See Addendum No. 7
47	Please provide details of all new devices to be constructed. Please confirm the material generated by item 31.5 Rock Excavation: 1, will be disposed of off-	
47	site. 2. Disposal will be paid under either items 2.1, 2.2 or 2.2. As-Built Plan Sheet 21 of 195 that was provided with Addendum 1 appears to show an existing	All disposal will be off-site. The corresponding pay items are 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 as appropriate.
48	12* storm drain and manhole near the south abutment that was abandomed and left in place. Did the storm drain and manhole actually get built, and if so, what depth are they and do they need to be removed?	Assume that the as-builts show what was built. The EOR has no additional information.
49	Is the excavation for the bridge foundations paid under bid item 31.3 and included in the pay quantity? If not, please clarify where the foundation excavation is paid under.	No. The foundation excavation shall be included in Bid Item 3.5
50	Reference is made to Attachment A Bid Form. Item No. 1.0, 1.2 and 1.3 are fixed bid price "Allowance" items. Please confirm that the Contractor will be reimbursed their actual costs plus allowance markups under these bid items. The referenced specifications do not indicate this.	Contractor will be compensated either negotiated lump sum or cost reimbursement and a fair and reasonable overhead and profit markup.
51	Reference is made to the Information for Bidders regarding the relationship between the Port Authority and the Gateway Development Commission. Please indicate if the Gateway Development Commission will have a separate form of contract other than the Port Authority contract included in the bid documents.	The Bid Documents reflect the contractual requirements.
52	We hereby request a two week extension to the current bid date.	See Addendum No.4
53	Do you know what steel sheet piling was left in place under the original contract for Stage 1? Since the original contractor knows what was built already (under the Arc contract) stage 1 section of the bridge. Other contractors bidding this project that would have to demo and rebuild the section previously contracted and the original contractor may not have to.	See Addendum No.1 The existing abutment walls, prior to demolition of the deck work, removal of the beams, and prior to being covered, were built in accordance with the contract plans and specifications. Addendum #1 contains the As-Built drawings for the original contract. Any contractor that chooses to explore the concept of keeping the original abutment walls, as a value engineering submission, will be required to go through the testing and approval process to confirm the usubility and integrity of the walls. In addition, any contractor will be required to maintain the existing project timeline and gain approval by the NIDOT.
55	Is the excavation for the bridge foundations paid under bid item 31.3 and included in the pay quantity? If not, please clarify where the foundation excavation is paid under.	Same as 49
56	The Demolition Plan on sheet 16 says that the reinforced concrete pad to be removed is 24" thick. At the site visit on 3/2/23, it was mentioned that in some areas the concrete pad can be 3" thick. These areas are not indicated anywhere in the plans. If there are 3" thick areas, please call them out in the plans.	The reinforced concrete pad is a minimum of 24 inches thick. In some places it may be up to 36 inches thick. The EOR does not have the locations of extra thickness.
57	Plan Sheet 83 shows removal of various Temporary Traffic Signal Items, including the 10' deep STF Foundations and 3' conduit that crosses Tonnelle Ave. Please confirm that the 3' RMC can be abandoned in place, and the STF foundation only needs to be removed to 3' below finish grade, and if so, revise the plan sheets accordingly to note the removal/abandonment limits.	The conduit can be abandoned per NJDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction 201.03.01 D. The foundation should be removed to a minimum depth of 2 feet below the finished grade per NJDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction 201.03.01 G.
58	Addendum 3 identified an area that will be off-limits due to activity by Amtrak's contractor. In that the identified area directly impacts contract work proposed under contract GDC23-002, please provide the anticipated timeframe that the bidders should assume this area will be unavailable due to the Amtrak contractor's activity, and the anticipated completion date so that the bidders can evaluate the potential impacts.	This area will be available to the Contractor no larer than February 2025, and will be made available sooner if possible.
59	At the pre bid meeting it was mentioned the existing building slab on the west side could be up to 3' thick, the plans call for 2' thick. Please confirm if 2 or 3' thick.	The reinforced concrete pad is a minimum of 24 inches thick. In some places it may be up to 36 inches thick. The EOR does not have the locations of extra thickness.
60	Page CD2-CX-2509 (pg 73 of plans) shows: Existing Manhole & 12 inch Pipe at STA. 4667-6407. Is this MH#2 as shown on page CD2-CT-2141 (pg 22 of plans)? If so, can you confirm if this manhole is already existing and fully in place for future use, or whether it will need to be replaced by this contract? Otherwise, is this an existing manhole that will need to be removed?	MH #2 and 12" pipe do exist and were abandoned under the ARC Project when it was canceled. The existing manhole and pipes shall be demolished and constructed anew as shown on drawing CO2-CT-2141.
61	Please confirm that any Rock Excavation performed throughout the contract, including all underground utility work, will be paid under Item #31.5 "Rock Excavation (31 23 50)".	Confirmed
62	Plan sheet 82 indicates a standard STF foundation for a 65' steel mast arm. Please confirm this is correct.	Confirmed
63	Plan sheets 102 and 133 indicate the northwest wingwall to be built flush against the existing retaining wall (without any removal of the existing wall). The vertical distance from the bottom of this new wingwall footing to the existing bottom of retaining wall is about 16. Please confirm: 1.	The existing retaining wall does not require demolition and reconstruction. However, any damage to the existing retaining wall during construction shall be restored to the original condition.
<u> </u>	the existing retaining wall does not require demolition and reconstruction. 2. please confirm the existing retaining wall does not need underpinning, etc. Please provide a detail for the "Guard Rail" shown in the Typical Track Section along the access	The existing wall does not require underpinning. However, adequate temporary support of excavation/existing wall shall be provided for the construction of the new wall.
64	rease provide a detail for the "Guard Rali" shown in the Typical Track Section along the access road on Plan Sheets 10 and Sheet 18. Also please advise under which item the cost of this work is to be included.	Refer to Drawing C02-CT-2503 for details. Pay item 34.1 applies.
65	Please confirm the concrete sidewalk on the proposed bridge will be paid for under item 32.4.	Confirmed
66	Chapter V – Paragraph 79 on Page 107 of the Gateway Development Commission – Tonnelle Avenue Overhead Bridge and Utility Relocations Contract Book makes reference to the contractor providing Railroad Protective Liability Insurance with limits as required by the affected railroad. Our review of the bid documents yielded no limits from any railroad. Please advise as to the limits and for which affected railroads we need the Railroad Protective Liability Insurance.	*As of 03/29/2023 the reponse is "Yes, the Contractor will be required to provide RRPL
	Spec Section 01 74 19 Part 3.01 F describes requirements for sampling unsuitable soil for recycling / offsite management. The spec references Section 02 32 16.13 which has not been	The correct reference is to Section 02 24 00, Chemical Sampling and Analysis. Specification Section 01 74 19 will be modified accordingly.
67	provided in the bid documents. Please review and provide the relevant spec section. Plan Sheet C02-CT-2102 titled "Demolition Plan" provides limits for removal of an existing 24" thick reinformed concrete slab. Please provide the testing / disposal requirements, if any, for the concrete generated from the removal of the slab. Also, please confirm that the concrete can be crushed and reused onsite.	Refer to the following from Drawing C02-CT-2001, Demolition Notes: "1. All demolished materials and debris shall be legally disposed of off site in a manner satisfactory to the Construction Manager." The term "Construction Manager" is understood to mean 'Commission Representative."
	Please review Bid Submission Checklist Item 17 - Certification of Current Cost or pricing Date as this document/certification appears to be for Extra Work that would be performed after awarding	See Addendum No. 5
70	a contract, and therefore cannot be certified at the time bid. Please review Bid Submission Checklist Line 15 Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, etc. First Tier Covered Transactions and Line 19 - Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, etc. Lower Tier Covered Transactions. Is the contractor simultaneously considered a first tier and second tier participant?	Please read the FRA and FTA requirements of Section VII. The Bidder is responsponsible to submit the forms as specified in the Bid Documents and as reflected in the Bid Submission Checklist. Section VII reflects the flow down requirements for subcontractors. The FRA requires Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, etc. First Tier Covered Transactions and teh FTA requires Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, etc. Lower Tier Covered Transactions.

	The bidders still have not been provided the actual Geotechnical Report for the project. In Addendum #1 the bidders have been provided a file labeled 4.COT Final GeoCalculations 021109. These are the calculations of the bridge substructure, not the actual Geotechnical Report. In the calculations on Sheet No. 5 of 28 it refers to a Trans Hudson Express Project Geotechnical Interpretive Report dated Dec 21, 2007. Please provide the relevant information to this site of the	See Addendum No. 1
71	project to all bidders. Drawing CO2-ST-2522, Note 7, directs the Contractor to remove the existing soil and decomposed rock to sound rock. The Contractor is to then fill in the areas with concrete to the bottom of proposed footings. In consideration that these quantities cannot be determined prior to bid, nor can the Contractor determine the placement of the previously installed SOE and concrete fill, please consider creating separate unit price pay items for "Foundation Excavation. Rock, Removal of Existing Concrete Foundations, and Plaining Concrete Full Under Footings" since these costs are grossly disproportionate with the unit costs of the mass quantity items in the current proposal sheet.	See Addendum No. 1
73	Please clarify why Bid Item No #1.0 for Performance & Payment Bond is an allowance item? The contract documents require these bonds on the project, so the bidder shall provide a unit price for this work, or spread the costs into the other items on the project. But the Allowance value seems to be a mistake for this item.	See Addendum No. 5
74	Please provide a detail for the 18" RCP through the retaining wall at the Detention Basin.	There are two RCP with 18" and 12" diameter that go through the retaining wall. A detail to be used for both openings will be provided by future Addendum. See Addendum No. 7
75	The Civil plan sheets show much more Beam Guide Rail installation than the quantity of 120 ft. in proposal Item No. 34.1. Please increase the proposal quantity of Item 34.1.	See Addendum No. 5
76	Please provide an earthwork summary that illustrates where the quantities for the quantified earthwork items (31.3-31.5) are coming from.	This quantity is related to all earthwork outside of Tonnelle Ave structure, including overall site cuts-fill embankments/approaches on either side of the structure.
	Item 31.5 - Rock Excavation. The Quantity of 4000 CY seems high for this project, can you please	Embankments/approaches on either side of the structure. This quantity is related to excavation outside of Tonnelle Ave structure.
77	clarify where this rock is expected to be encountered. Plan Page C02-CT-2093 (page 14) shows (7) seven Groundwater Monitoring Wells within the	A summary of groundwater data at the site is provided with the Materials Management Plan (MMP). See Addendum
78	project limits. Can you please provide the groundwater data collected from these wells? Please correct the response to Question 22 as Site Grading is not defined in Section 31 11 00, or	No.5. The corrected response is that site grading is addressed in Specification Sections 02 41 00 and 31 23 50.
79	provide an updated Section 31 11 00 that includes the requested information. The response to Question 48 (in reference to the 12" storm drain at the South Abutment) states to	The corrected response is that site grading is addressed in Specification Sections 02 41 vo and 51 25 50.
80	assume that the as-builts show what is built. The bid documents currently show a new storm drain installed in the identical (or nearly identical) location as the 12" storm drain from the previous contract. Are the bidders assume that they will have to pio unit the existing concrete encased storm drain and manhole in order to construct a new storm drain in essentially the same location? Or please confirm that the line shown in "stage 1 limits" was already constructed and is not part of this contract.	MH #2 and 12" pipes do exist and were abandoned under the ARC Project when it was canceled. Method of abandonment and condition of pipe and manhole after abandonment are unknown as to whether they are salvageable. The existing manhole and pipes shall be demolished and constructed anew as shown on drawing CO2-CT-2141.
81	Spec Section 02 24 00 outlines the requirements for analytical testing of soil and groundwater which will not be measured and paid but included in a lump sum item. Typically sampling and analysis is paid for as a unit price since it can be difficult to quantify the number of samples required during the pre-bid phase of the project. Further, there is language in 3.02 that "no additional payment shall be made for additional sampling and analysisas required by the Commission Representative." There is no way for the bidding contractor to quantify the amount of sampling and analysis that might be required by the Commission Representative. Please review and provide a unit price bid item to address sampling and analysis and confirm that the contractor will be paid for all sampling and analysis that is directed by the Commission.	Bid Item will be added to the Bid Form. Please provide unit costs for waste classification analyses for soils, concrete, and wastewater, and identify for each what analyses will be included. See Addendum No. 6
82	Plan Sheet CO2-CT-2102 titled "Demolition Plan", Note 4 states that "Environmental investigation and remedial action work shall be performed prior to demolition work. See remedial action plan." Please confirm that this work has been completed or will be completed prior to contractor's mobilization on site.	Remediation work has previously been completed and the Plan Sheet is being revised to remove the reference to an RAWP.
	Spec section 01 35 13.43 titled "Special Project Procedures for Contaminated Sites" states that the Commission will be solely responsible for the designation of excavated material, soil and regulated	All regulated contaminated waste material must be disposed at a licensed facility authorized to accept the waste based on waste classification analyses. Although disposal of RCRA Hazardous waste is not anticipated, it is a possibility. A
83	waste material. Will the soils designated for offsite disposal be required to disposed of as RCRA regulated waste?	list of all proposed disposal and treatment facilities, transporters will be prepared by the Contractor and approved by the Commission prior to material leaving the work site.
	para 3.0 of Spec Section 33 40 01 states "Perform leakage tests of pipelines" but there's no direction of what leakage tests must be performed. In that leakage testing is not required per NIDOT standard specifications, please confirm that leakage testing is not required, and delete the referenced paragraph from the specifications. Otherwise please provide clear leakage testing	Leakage testing of the pipelines is required. The Contractor shall review and conform with the AASHTO and ASTM standards that are cited in -Specification Section 33 40 01.
84	guidelines. Plan sheet 16 indicates "EAST EXCAVATION (50'X60') EXCAVATE TO EL. 302', SEE NOTE 4" near Sta 74 4667-400. This excavation is not included in the cross sections and appears to be a deep exeavation adjacent to an existing building to the north and existing retaining wall to the east. I. Please confirm that this exeavation is paid for under item 31.3. 2. No support of excavation is indicated in the plans to protect either structure. Please confirm this excavation including safe side slopes will not affect these two structures. 3. Please confirm filling the exeavation will be paid under item 31.4.	East excavation is no longer needed. Environmental investigation and remediation work was previously completed. Plan sheet will be revised to remove this work.
86	There are a lot of entities involved in this project; the GDC, the Port Authority, Amtrak, Conrail, AECOM, WSP, Parsons Brinckerhoff, STV. After the project is awarded, how will submittals be handled, will they need to go to all of these entities or will a single entity be the primary Point of Contact?	The Gateway Development Commission is the point of contact. The Construction Manager, on behalf of the Gateway Development Commission will manage the submittal process.
	Can you confirm that all on-site testing and inspections for activities such as concrete pours, soils samplings, soils testings, compaction tests for soils and aggregates, etc. will be paid under Bid	This is correct, consistent with ASTM Standard E329 cited in Specification Section 01 45 29, noting however this does not cover any testing required for a Value Engineering change proposal or Value Engineering change order.
87	Item "Laboratory Testing & Inspection"? Can you confirm that dewatering effluent may be discharged into existing storm sewers after	The Contractor shall decide the appropriate disposal methodology and ensure that any required permits are obtained
88	treatment? Plan page C02-CT-2141 (pg 22) shows a "15 inch RCP connect with exist, pipe with pipe collar	prior to disposal. Please refer to Specifications, including 02 71 00 Groundwater Treatment.
89	see detail on dwg C02-CT-2509". However, no such detail exist on that page. Can you please provide the detail needed for the work?	The pipe collar detail will appear on drawing C02-CT-2509.
	Plan page CO2-CT-2141 (pg 22) shows various pipe runs in bold text (e.g. 15" RCP and Type B inlets) in various spots pointing to non-bold symbols. Can you confirm that these are existing	The pipe/inlet layers were inadvertently turned off. See Addendum No. 7
90	items and not items to be constructed? Plan page C02-CT-2141 (pg 22) and plan pages C02-TF-2103 (pg 86) to plan page C02-TF-2107	
91	(ng 90), specifically plan page C02-TF-2104 (ng 87) show drainage modifications that do not correspond to each other. For example, plan page C02-TF-2104 (ng 87) informs the contractor to Construct Type E Inlet and Relocate as B Inlet in Stage IV* whereas plan page C02-CT-2141 (ng 22) possibly shows a new Type B inlet to be constructed. Please resubmit these plans with corresponding work terms for this lump sum work.	The drawing will be revised to change "Relocate as B Inlet" to "Convert to B Inlet. See Addendum No. 7
92	(pg 22)?	The conversion will be changed from manhole to E inlet. The detail is on drawing C02-CT-2511.
	Several spec sections mention payment item "Division 3-Concrete-2001 Tonnelle Avenue Warehouse (All Remaining Work)". This item is not listed in the Bid Form and the plans do not identify the scope of work to be performed at 2001 Tonnelle Ave. Please remove this wording from the specifications or if the item is needed, please add to the bid form, and clearly identify the	See Bid Form, item 3.7 entitiled DIVISION 3 - CONCRETE (ALL REMAINING WORK).
93	scope of work. Can you please provide the steel plate protection detail, PSE&G Gas Distribution Standard 4.2-1,	This requirement and reference shall be removed, since the pipe will have adequate cover or in the culvert box. See
94	P.1 as referenced on plan page C02-UT-2129 (pg 59 of plans)?	Addendum No. 7
95	The location MH#1 as shown on Plan Sheets 22 and 24, will require the manhole structure to be placed through the south abutment footing. If this is the intent, please revise the south abutment footing plan to show a penetration and any sleeves that might be needed. Also, in that this manhole is entirely within rock, please provide details for the bedding backfill requirements.	Drawing will be revised via Addendum. MH#1 will be located to avoid interference with south abutment footing.
	At the site-visit held on 3/2 it was mentioned that a portion of the existing 2001 Tonnelle Ave retaining wall that is perpendicular to Tonnelle Ave., west of the South Abutment is to be removed as shown on the plans. Be advised that the plans do not show removal of this retaining wall.	The limits of removal for reinforced concrete (RC) wall perpendicular to Tonnelle Ave will be shown on revised CT-2102 with Rev. A. Contractor shall construct the proposed grading between this wall and the long RC retaining wall along Tonnelle Ave. per CT-2142 prior to the partial removal of the wall perpendicular to Tonnelle Ave. See
96	Please clearly identify the limits of retaining wall removal, if any, and confirm that the identified removal will not joopardize the integrity or stability of the retaining wall to remain. Spec section 33 10 01 stipulates that all work on water mains shall be performed by Veolia-	Addendum No. 7
97	preferred contractors. Please provide a list of Veolia-preferred contractors and associated contact information.	See Addendum No. 7
98	There are extensive utilities "by others" listed throughout the plans but specifically on plan sheet 42. They will have a major impact to the progress schedule of the project, yet the contract documents do not appear to list any timelines for their notice, procurement, field work, commissioning, etc. Please confirm: 1. All utilities by others have agreements in place with the GDC. 2. Please provide all durations for the tasks listed above. 3. Please confirm the contract duration of 732 days for substantial completion excludes any and all time for these utilities "by others".	All utilities will have agreements in place with Amtrak by the time of the Notice to Proceed under this Contract. Verizon and PSE&G are to perform utility relocation in Stage 1. Remaining utility work should be completed by the Contractor by the completion of Stage 3. The contract duration is inclusive of all utilities work.
	Dage CO2-UT-2127 of the contract plans calls out "tie into existing 8" watermain" at the approach to the proposed bridge and calls for "existing 12" watermain to be relocated" at the proposed bridge. Place sconfirm the size of the watermain and/or if the existing watermain reduces/increases at this location.	Survey record shows both 8" and 12" on east (NB) side of roadway. Utility is unaware where it changes. The Contrator is responsible for verification.
	The response to Question 20 regarding the Cross Sections states "See Addendum 4". Addendum 4 did not address the issue that was raised by Question 20. Please provide clarification regarding	See Addendum 5 - revised Bid Form.
100	Question 20.	

	The response to Question 38 does not clarify the limits of measurement/payment for Item 32.2- Concrete Barrier Curb which has a proposal quantity of 112 LF (which doesn't match any of the quantities provided in the response to Question 38). Please review and provide an updated	See Addendum 5 - revised Bid Form.
101	proposal with the correct quantity for Item 32.2 In Addendum #1 the bidder have been provided a file labeled 4.CO7 Final GeoCalculations 021109. On Page 86 of the Poffe liber her is a "Feulation of Roke Excavatability". These notes state the excavation for the new bridge goes down to elevation +314, which "will result in the need to remove bedrock to a depth of approximately 4 feet maximum". Based on the contract drawings, bridge footing exavation goes down to elevation +310.79 on the eastern side, and there is a 12" HOPE below that which requires rock excavation down to elevation +300.52". This results in rock excavation depths of approximately 30 feet. This is much greater than the 4" stated in the report. Please provide the current "Evaluation of Rock Excavatability" to the correct levelous and depth of 20".	See Addendum 5 - revised Bid Form.
103	Plan sheet 19 is the excavation support plan which indicates two lines of sheeting along (and parallel to) Tonnelle Ave baseline from approx. sta 130+65 to 131+70 for stageline support (labeled as Stage 1 and Stage 2). However, plan sheet 105 indicates that either three or four lines of sheeting are needed for stageline support for Stages 2A and 2B. Please clarify the requirements of stageline sheeting along Tonnelle Ave for ALL STAGES.	Will be revised to include another line of Temporary Excavation Support between two abutments for Stage 2B.
104	Plan Sheet 80 calls for the replacement of the median barrier curb at the construction driveway entrance. There is no MPT plan shown for this work, and because this barrier will need to be formed, poured, stripped and cured, it cannot be done with nightly lane closures. How does the GDC envision this work to be accomplished?	Please see Specification Section 01 35 13.01, Paragraph 1.08 A.
105	The GDC answer to Question 17 did not address the questions of costs and suggested bid items to address costs beyond the contractor's control. In good faith please address these. At a minimum, NJ Statues require a Public owner to provide allowance items for Police Traffic Directors. Can you confirm that existing waterlines in both stages 1 and 3 can be shut down to construct the	See Addendum No. 6
106	proposed waterlines?	Contractor should confirm this with Veolia's current policy and service arrangement.
107	Question #42 and its answer by the GDC needs further clarification. MPT plan sheets 87-90 indicate 4 stages that do not match with Structural plan sheets 104-107 which indicate 8 stages. It is our opinion that the 8 stages are correct in order to build the project envisioned by the GDC but will require far more MPT devices, shifts, relocates and striping vs. the 4 simplified stages. We applied the freedom offered in the answer however this is not a design build project and a complete MPT design for the 8 stages are necessing vo that all bidders are costing the same design.	MPT Plans are the Contractor's responsibility. Refer to Specification Section 01 35 13.01, paragraph 1.08.
108	Drawing C02-UT-2116 calls out the replacement of 12-5" PVC conduits under the bridge encased in concrete. Are these conduits empty? If not what size conducers are in them and how far back do they need to be pulled back? Drawing C02-ST-2502 shows a detail for the 3" curved fence to be installed on the bridge. The	There are no data that show what was changed after removal of the previously built bridge, which carried these conduits with cables between MH#26 and MH#28. See Addendum No. 6
109	detail references Amtrak E.T. Standard Detail ET-1446-D, please provide this detail.	
	Please be advised that the current bid package nor Addenda #1 provides the necessary Geotechnical Report nor soil boring logs identified on drawing (O2-ST-2101 and asbuilt drawing sheet 111 of 195. This information is required to determine the design and cost for SOE, and cost for foundation excavation and site excavation. Please provide the requested information. Bidder's Log reposes to #7,#18,#24,and #53 are incorrect wherea Addendum No. I does not contain the	All the available data have been provided.
110	SOE design submitted, approved, and installed under the previous contract. Please provide the information requested.	All the available data have been provided.
111	Bidder's Log #54 implies that the Contractor may consider exploring the reuse of the existing substructure in place as a value engineering option. However, it was represented during the prebid site visit that the previous contractor may have damaged the existing substructure during the removal of the precast box beams. For the record, night this have been the case.	All the available data have been provided.
112	Refer to Intermediate Diaphragm detail on Sht. 119 (ST-2511). There is a note stating "12x5" dia. Conduits & hanger (by others) See Note 2." Note 2 states "Utility hangers shall not project below bottom of beams." Please clarify what the Contractor's responsibility is for the conduit and hanger shown.	The clearance between the bottom of beams and top of rail must be maintained. Note 2 on Drawing C02-ST-2511 explains fully the Contractor's responsibility. The shop drawings for these items shall conform.
113	Please provide a list of utility owner approved contractors for the Veolia water main work. Only contractors for the PSEG work were given.	See Addendum No. 7
	If no allowance will be provided for the Uniform Traffic Control Police Officers, please provide an hourly rate that the General Contractor is to use for the use of Police Officers during required	See Addendum No. 6
114	work activities. Can you confirm that Existing MH#2 that will be demoed (See Bidder's Log 8, #60) and	
115	constructed anew has the same invert as the both existing and proposed? If not please provide the existing grate and invert for this deep manhole.	Confirmed.
116	Please provide specification section 02 22 10, describing the pre/post construction survey requirements.	This section was included with the Bid Documents and is being revised by Addendum. See Addendum No. 7
117	The Contractor is unable to determine the quantity of suitable, non-contaminated and non- hazardous soil onsite that is available for reuse prior to the bid. This therefore makes the potential for required imported embankment material unknown, due to the various levels of contamination and suitability to be determined once work commences. Please consider creating a new unit price bid item for the purchase and import of clean embankment/borrowfill. Bidder's Log response #8 does not answer whether Railroad Protective Liability Insurance will be	"The quantity of clean fill is covered in Bid Item 31.4. Existing fill may be re-used if the GDC Representative deems it to be suitable. The Addendum No. 9 will revise this Specification Section.
118	Bidder 8 Log response #8 does not answer whether Ramoud Frocetive Labriny insurance will be required for working within 50ft of the Conrail / NYS&W railroad, nor does it answer the number and speed of trains. Please respond as requested.	8A of 03/20/2022 the response is "Vest the Contractor will be required to provide DDDI
110	Please refer to contract drawing C02-CT-2142 and C02-CT-2514. There appears to be four outlets	SAs of 03/29/2023 the reponse is "Yes, the Contractor will be required to provide RRPL. A profile way created for outlet #4 on CT 2442 and will be issued via Addendum. SAs Addendum No. 7.
119	shown, however information for only three are given. Please provide the missing information.	A profile was created for outlet #4 on CT-2143 and will be issued via Addendum. *See Addendum No. 7
120	Regarding the existing sheeting that was installed in the previous contract, responses back to questions on this topic are all "See Addendum No. 1". After further review of Addendum No. 1 and its attachments there is no mention of what exactly was installed in this contract. Attachment 'CO7 FNAL Goodcalutainor' is a design parameter for a support of executation system in this area but not anything of specifics that would lead the contract to know what is in place on this current contract. Attachment 'CO7 As-Built Dwgs" is the as-builts for the previous contract that was cancelled and removed as part of the cancellation of the ARC program. In the as-built drawings there is also no mention or reference of the existing support of excavation system installed in this contract. Please provide submittath that would have been submitted by previous General Contract and approved by the ARC program with regards to the furnishing and installation of the support of excavation system used for this previous contract. Without the information we are unsure what is in place and how we are to remove/modifyhandle this existing condition.	All the available data have been provided.
121	Please provide an anchor bolt detail for the concrete box beams. Sht. 115 (ST-2507) directs you to Sh. 118 (ST-2510), however the anchor bolts are not shown or specified.	The callout of "anchor bolt" will be changed to "anchor rods". The details of 3" hole in concrete box beam for anchor rod is shown on ST-2510. *See Addendum No. 7
	Refer to the prestressed concrete box beam Typical Insert Location Details on Sht. 118 (ST-2510.) Are the couplers and threaded inserts accounted for in the provided Bar Schedules? Table 1 Reinforcement Schedule on Sht. 117 (ST-2509) does not appear to account for the #19 coupler,	#19 Threaded inserts (embedded in precast box beam) are considered miscellaneous items, and will not be included in Table 1 on Dwg. 117 (ST-2509).
122	and the end diaphragm Bar Schedules on Sht. 141 (ST-2602) do not appear to account for the #19 threaded end over the abutments & pier. Are the #19 couplers and threaded inserts in addition to the bars listed in their respective Bar Schedules, or should the Remarks section in each chart be modified to reflect which bars account for the couplers/inserts?	A note of "one threaded end is required and it is not included in the bar length" will be added in Remarks section for bar mark 19ED05 on sheet 141 (ST-2602). "See Addendum No. 7
	Refer to Sht. 119 (ST-2511), Sht. 118 (ST-2510), and Sht. 117 (ST-2509). Are the #19 couplers (embedded in precast box beams) and #19 threaded inserts (cast in end diaphragm) uncoated or	#19 threaded inserts (embedded in precast box beam) shall be hot-dipped galvanized. #19 rebar with threaded end (cast in diaphragm) shall be epoxy coated, see ST-2602.
123	epoxy coated? Refer to Sht. 116 (ST-2508) and Sht. Sht. 119 (ST-2511.) Please specify coating for 7/8" inserts ### 7.8" both connecting stead displacements by beau.	Hot-dipped galvanized inserts and anchor bolts shall be used.
124	& 7/8" bolts connecting steel diaphragms to the precast concrete box beam. Refer to Sht. 119 (ST-2511.) Please specify coating for 7/8" bolts at the steel diaphragm to bent connection plate connection.	Hot-dipped galvanized high-Strength anchor bolts per NJDOT Section 908.02 shall be used.
125	connection plate connection. Refer to Sht. 115 (ST-2507) and Sht. 119 (ST-2511.). Please confirm that all diaphragms, connection plates, and other steel components of the roadway bridge shall be coated with the three	Yes, all diaphragms, connection plates, and other steel components of the roadway bridge shall be coated with the
126 127	contection praise; some our execution for the state of th	three coat IEU paint system specified in Note 6.D on Sht. 102 (ST-2101.) A detail/section view was added to CT-2102 and will be provided via Addendum. *See Addendum No. 7
128	Please expand upon the GDC answer to question #86 regarding all the noted entities. With such a tight schedule and large liquidated damages, the shop drawing/submittal review process with a tremendous amount of entities needs to be expedited and is crucial to timing of the project. I. Please confirm that all entities have project agreements with the GDC to provide timely review of submittals and will begin review at time of contractor's award of contract. 2. Please confirm the GDC will provide comments/return any and all submittals to the contractor within 15 calendar days and shall include a complete and composite review by all applicable entities. Also, Please provide specification section 02 22 10, describing the pre/post construction survey requirements.	Specification Section 02 22 10 is part of the Bid Documents.

129	Numerous questions have been asked by bidders about the existing sheeting currently in place from the original contract, yet the GDC has not complied with the conclusive request of providing the shop drawings and calculations for it. (As-builts provided in Addentum #1 are not shop drawings and do not help.) The proposed sheeting is probably the most time consuming and complicated aspect of this project, in its own right, given its height, staging requirements, high rock elevation, tiebacks, etc. Adding a critical unknown of existing sheeting and how it conflicts or impacts this project's sheeting makes it now so far beyond an industry standard risk to be taken by a bidder. The GDC responses state. 'Contractor's means and methods' and the GDC needs to provide tits information which is certainly available. Although it's been years since the original project took place, it appears the GDC has enough consultants to track down this shop drawing and provide it to the bidders. As a result, please provide these pertained tookcuments to all bidders.	See Addendum No. 7
130	Note # 07 on drawing CO2-ST-2103 indicated "The steel box beam stiffening of construction barrier curb with attachment B as shown on CD-159-3 shall be made of cold – formed welded and seamless structural tubing, Etc., We couldn't locate CD-159-3 within the bid documents, please advise.	See Addendum No. 6
131	Were the ground anchors from the abandoned support of excavation de-tensioned/destressed?	It is not known for certain whether excavation support is destressed, but you can assume the tieback has been destressed.
	Drawing GT-51201 from the As-Builts Drawing Set notes "The Contractor's attention is directed to the geotechnical foundation engineering report for this contact that presents the detailed soil	See Addendum No. 7
132	boring and rock coring logs represented in the geotechnical profiles illustrated above". Please provide the full geotechnical report that were provided for the ARC project. Contract Book 02092023, Page 191: Work hours are listed as 7am-3pm & 3pm-11pm shifts.	
133	Please clarify the Contractor can work any combination of shifts 1st - 3rd, and not limited to only 1st, 2nd? Plan Sheet 19, Permanent Excavation Support: Please clarify the Contractor can utilize any type of	Work is limited to the times listed. Bid as shown.
134	material to be submitted for permanent support, or is a particular type of material required for permanent support left in place?	Follow material requirements in Specification Section 31 50 00.
135	Please confirm if the fabricated steel girders indicated on drawings C02-ST-2513 and C02-ST- 2514 are Fracture Critical Members or not. (Specification section 051200)	These steel girders are not Fracture Critical Members.
136	Per the Bid Submission Checklist, instructions are as follows: "Confirm that your Bid submission includes the following items, by inserting a check mark in either the "Yes" column, the "None Issued" column or "Not Included" column, as appropriate, next to each line item." Under the section "Bidder Non-Compliance Statements", Line items 10 and 11, the checklist does not allow for checking off "Not Included" where applicable as it is crossed off. Are we to leave these line items blank where no signed statement is included or will the Bid Submission Checklist be revised to remove the cross outs?	Will be revised and reissued.
137	The pipe trench detail on Sheet No. 34 is shown for pipe installation below the existing concrete pavement- which doesn't apply for a majority of the pipe on this job. Please provide a trench	Will remaid admit (DOT CD 601.2 and/or 2)
137	detail for the typical storm drain pipe installation including any bedding and backfill requirements. The response to Question #65 confirms that the sidewalk on the bridge will be paid for under Item #32.2, but the description and proposal quantity for Item #32.2 do not appear to agree with the	Will provide detail (DOT CD 601.2 and/or 3).
138	response provided. Please update the proposal quantity and description for Item #32.2, or add a new item to the proposal to measure and pay for the 6" minimum thickness sidewalk on the new bridge deck.	The sidewalk is to be paid for under item 32.4.
136	The response to Question #117 significantly impacts how the earthwork on this project is to be	The sucewark is to be part for under term 52.4.
139	dealt with and raises several issues that must be addressed. If the intent is that all excavated material is to be disposed of 61-size and all backfillembankmen is to be imported clean fill, please revise specification Section 01 35 43, Section 02 61 13, and Section 31 23 50 to remove all wording related to/referencing on-site reuse of excavated material. The changes made in Addendum 7 do not adequately or completely address this substantial issue. Also, please confirm that both furnishing and placement of all imported clean fill will be measured and paid under lten No. 3.14. Embankment, and update the proposal quantities as necessary. Finally, in that the total combined quantity of ltem Nos. 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 is not adequate to cover the quantity of off-site disposal that will be generated from the various exervations, please substantially increase the quantity of Item 2.2 (and 2.1 and 2.3 if needed) as necessary.	Section 01 35 43 does not address this issue. Section 01 35 43.01, paragraph 2.03B.1 states "Beneficial re-use of spoil on-site is not permitted." Sections 02 of 13.19 and 31 23 50 have been revised by Addendum.
140	Stage I.A - requires the removal of roughly 700' of median barrier curb. The contract drawings do not account for the asphalt that will need to be placed in the area where the barrier is removed to allow for traffic shift. Also, in stage 4 when the final median barrier is placed the contract drawings do not account for the removal of the asphalt to build the new median barrier. Please add the required details, call outs and quantities needed to complete this work to the drawings.	The longest MPT barrier removal for traffic shifting in Stage 3 is from 126+68 to 133+80, 712°.
141	Bidders Log 12, Q&A No.133 indicates work can only take place 7am-3pm & 3pm-11pm shifts. MPT Plans Sheen No.85 indicates all lanes must be maintained fam-10pm. Thus, certain work activities such as Stage Changes, Precast Box Beam Installation, SCS Sheet/Pile Installation, etc. are unable to be performed without closing the adjacent lane. Confirm 3rd shift is allowed for major activities and or Lane Closure hours will be modified to reduce lanes during 2nd shift? Only within the bid documents under Section 02 41 11 1.04A Demolition does it state that no 10 blasting is permitting for purposes of demolition. However Specifications 01 32 16 3.06A1 / 01 35 4.3 19.103M, 1.04A, 1.08 B1Lb, 1.08 D2, 3.03B and 33 10 01 Schedulel section 8; all describe guidelines and submittal requirements as it pertains to provisions for blasting, in addition, a sector of our industry has the benefit of knowing that the previous ARC Contractor was permitted to utilize blasting in the performance of the Stage 1 bridge construction due to the hardness of the existing rock.	Contractor shall address this in its MPT Plan.
142	a.Please clarify that blasting for rock excavation will be permitted on this project. b.Please provide the document entitled "Amtriak Blasting Requirements" listed with section 01 35 43.19.10.4. Which is missing from the contract documents. c.Please provide the document entitled "Controlled Blasting" listed with section 01 35 43.19 1.03.M which is missing from the contract documents. The revised drawing C02-CT-2161, issued as part of Addendum 7, depicts a proposed temporary	Division 01 specification sections apply to the Hudson Tunnel Project, of which the Tonnelle Avenue Bridge is a part, as a whole. The prohibition in Section 02 41 00, paragraph 1.04A.1, governs.
	asphalt wall-way to be installed. a. Please clarify if a temporary pedestrian fence will be required on both sides of this wall-way to prohibit pedestrians from entering the work zone and unsecured adjacent property, as none is currently shown. b.lf a fence a temporary fence is required, please provide the type, size, and specifications to be utilized.	a. The plan shows a silt fence on outside of the temporary walk. This will be moved to the inside of the walkway to serve the same function. b. Will the construction site thas its own fence? That will be much shorter than the walkway and the walkway can just go along that fence.
143	c.Will this temporary fence remain in place upon completion of this contract, to be removed by others at a later time in order to keep the construction site and adjacent property secure? Please reference Addendum #5 attachment "Materials Management Plan – Draft" sheet 17. Per	go along that tence. c.No, the NB sidewalk will be done and open to pedestrians.
	sheet 17 of the draft material management plan section 4.4 "Backfill Material states the following: Excavated contaminated soil, concrete debris and other excavated material, will not be re-used on site as backfill. In his statement contradicts specification section 02 of 13.19 "Staign, Handling, Transportation, and Disposal of Non-Hazardous and Petroleum-Contaminated Materials" paragraph 3.07B which states: Non-contaminated soil may be re-used on-site if the material meets all other requirements including gradation. Please confirm which statement the contractor is to	
144	follow. In reference to Specification 01 35 53 Security Procedures; 3.05 Construction Security General	Section 02 61 13.19 has been revised by addendum.
145	Requirements- What are the required hours of coverage for the contractor's security guard company? Please provide a drawing indicating the location for the security booth, work site fencing and lighting.	Contractor shall address this in its Site Security Control Plan in accordance with Section 01 35 53.
	Dwg. Sheet 56. Note 2 states "All fittings, valves and hydrants will be provided by Veolia". Spec Section 33 10 01, Part 2, 2.01 A & B state Veolia will Supply water main valves and fire hydrant assemblics." The Contractor shall provide all pipe and fittings in accordance with the	
146	specifications." Please clarify if Veolia is providing fittings. Is the Geotech instrumentation scope limited to furnish and installation of 18 EA Optical Survey Prisms on the existing retaining wall? Will the Commission Representative monitor these points once installed? Will the Commission Representative stablish their own reference-backsight points for repeatable measurements? Are Settlement Platforms, VW Piezometers, Slope Inclinometers and Observation Wells required for this contract, per Section 31 09 13. If yes, please advise on anticipated location and tip elevation. Please reference Addendum #5 attachment "Materials Management Plan – Draft" sheet 17. Per sheet 17 of the draft material management plan section 4.4 "Backfill Material states	No, fittings shall be provided by the contractor, not Veolia.
147	the following: Excavated contaminated soil, concrete debris and other excavated material, will not be re-used on site as backfill. This statement contracties specification section 26 e1 13-19 "Staging, Handling, Transportation, and Disposal of Non-Hazardous and Petroleum-Contaminated Materials" paragraph 3/19 Which states: Non-contaminated soil may be re-used on-site if the material meets all other requirements including gradation. Please confirm which statement the contractor is to follow:	Refer to Section 31 09 13 as revised by Addendum 9.
17/		The second of the second of smoothlill 2.

9

	Please reference Addendum #5 attachment "Materials Management Plan – Draft" sheet 17. Per sheet 17 of the draft material management plan section 4.4 "Backfill Material states the following:	
	Excavated contaminated soil, concrete debris and other excavated material, will not be re-used on site as backfill. This statement contradicts specification section 02 61 13.19 "Staging, Handling,	
	Transportation, and Disposal of Non-Hazardous and Petroleum-Contaminated Materials" paragraph 3.07B which states: Non-contaminated soil may be re-used on-site if the material meets	
148	all other requirements including gradation. Please confirm which statement the contractor is to follow.	See Addendum No. 7.
140	In reference to Specification 01 35 53 Security Procedures; 3.05 Construction Security General Requirements- What are the required hours of coverage for the contractor's security guard	Sec 1 Milesianii 1 vv. 7 .
149	company? Please provide a drawing indicating the location for the security booth, work site	Control III Hardining Co. Co. 170 Co.
149	fencing and lighting. Dwg. Sheet 56. Note 2 states "All fittings, valves and hydrants will be provided by Veolia". Spec	Contractor shall address this in its Site Security Control Plan in accordance with Section 01 35 53.
450	Section 33 10 01, Part 2, 2.01 A & B state Veolia will Supply water main valves and fire hydrant assemblies." "The Contractor shall provide all pipe and fittings in accordance with the	7
150	specifications." Please clarify if Veolia is providing fittings. Is the Geotech instrumentation scope limited to furnish and installation of 18 EA Optical Survey	The language in Specification Section 33 10 01 is correct.
	Prisms on the existing retaining wall? Will the Commission Representative monitor these points once installed? Will the Commission Representative establish their own reference/backsight points	
151	for repeatable measurements? Is the Geotech instrumentation scope limited to furnish and installation of 18 EA Optical Survey	Refer to Section 31 09 13 as revised by Addendum No. 9.
	Prisms on the existing retaining wall? Will the Commission Representative monitor these points once installed? Will the Commission Representative establish their own reference/backsight points	
152	for repeatable measurements? Bidders Question Log, QUES# 128 gives an answer stating that Specification Section 02-22-10 is	Refer to Section 31 09 13 as revised by Addendum No. 9.
153	part of the Bid Documents. Please direct the bidders as to where specifically in the Bid Documents this specification section (02 22 10) occurs.	The correct reference is to section 02 22 00, which was provided with the Bid Documents.
	Addendum #7 deleted some Concrete Barrier Curb (Item # 32.2) from the project. Please review	
	and provide an updated proposal with the correct quantity for Item 32.2 per Addendum #7 Addendum #7 deleted some Concrete Barrier Curb (Item # 32.2) from the project. Please review	
154	and provide an updated proposal with the correct quantity for Item 32.2 per Addendum #7.	See Addendum No. 6.
	Bidder's Question Log response #49 states all Bridge Foundation Exc shall be paid under Item 3.5 Footings. Response #55 states that all Bridge Foundation Exc shall be paid under Item 31.3	
	Excavation. Response #61 states that all rock excavation shall be paid under Item 31.5 Rock Excavation, while response #77 states that the bid quantity for Item 31.5 excludes rock from the	
	Tonnelle Ave bridge. In consideration of these contradictions, please clarify the following: a.Under which item shall bridge foundation excavation be included?	
	b.Under which item shall rock excavation for bridge foundation excavation be included? c.Please provide a typical detail illustrating the measure and payment limits of bridge foundation	a. Bid Item 31.3. b. Bid Item 31.5.
155	excavation. Bidder's Question Log response #117, please note that payment for reuse of existing fill was not	c. Bidders shall arrive at a response to this question through a careful review of the drawings Any re-used fill will be paid under Bid Item 31.7. Specification Section 31 23 50 will be revised accordingly by
156	addressed within the revised spec section 31 23 50. Bidder's Question Log response #128, please note spec section 02 22 10 has not yet been	addendum.No. 9
	provided. a.Based on the original question and the number of agencies involved in the review process, for	
157	scheduling purposes, please state the number of calendar days the Contractor is to assume for review and turnaround of all submissions.	Any re-used fill will be paid under Bid Item 31.7. Specification Section 31 23 50 will be revised accordingly by Addendum No. 9.
	In accordance with Paragraph 14 for the above referenced bid, we're submitting the following question: The response to Question #49 suggests foundation excavation is to be incidental to Item	
	3.5- Footings however the response to Question #55 confirms that foundation excavation is to be measured and paid under Item 31.3 Excavation. Responses to Rock excavation questions are also	
	contradictory (See Question #61 and #77). In that a detailed earthwork summary has not been provided and measurement/payment limits have not been clearly identified, we're assuming that	
	all excavation (site excavation, foundation excavation, utility excavation, etc.) will be measured and paid under Item 31.3 Excavation; and that all rock excavation, regardless of the location will	
158	be measured and paid under Item 31.5 Rock Excavation; and that furnishing and placing all embankment (general embankment, backfill, etc.) will be measured and paid under Item 31.4 Embankment.	Correct.
138	The Response to Question 117 on the bidders log states that specification section 31 23 50 will be	Corect.
	modified by addendum to remove the provision for clean fill. The revised spec section 31 23 50 issued with addendum 7 did not address this, as sections 2.01 A and B from this revised spec still indicate that excavated material can still be reused for embankment and backfill. Please clarify	Any re-used fill will be paid under Bid Item 31.7. Specification Section 31 23 50 will be revised accordingly by
159	whether excavated material can be reused on site or not.	Addendum No. 9.
160	It appears that plans did not get revised per the GDC response to bidders log #103. Please clarify. The GDC response to the second part of question #98 did not provide the durations requested.	Will be revised to include another line of Temporary Excavation Support between two abutments for Stage 2B.
161	Please clarify.	As stated previously, the contract duration is inclusive of all utilities work.
	Regarding the properties along the east and west side of Tonnelle Avenue (2126 and 2001 Tonnelle Ave respectively) which will require sitework to be performed under this Contract:	
	Ioninear Ave respectively which will require successful to be performed under this Contract. a.Are these properties currently owned by NJ Transit? b.If these properties are owned by NJ Transit, will the Contractor need to provide any Railroad	
	Protective Liability insurance for working on these properties, though not near any tracks? c.Have all ROW entry permissions and/or permits for working on these properties been secured	 a) Yes. (b) As of 03/29/2023 - Yes, the Contractor will be required to provide RRPL.
162	by the Authority? d. What permits will the Contractor be required to secure for working on these properties?	(d) Permit list to be provided via Addendum No. 9.
-02	Plan Page C02-UT-2126 (pg 56) Note #2 states "All fittings, valves and hydrants will be provided by VEOLIA". Can you provide a list of exactly what and of what quantity VEOLIA will be	
	supplying for all watermain work, both stage 1 and stage 3? Can you confirm that items such as all megalugs, all 2" sample taps and all valves and valve boxes, including any valves needed for	
163	tapping into existing lines will be supplied by VEOLIA?	Veolia (Public Water Agency) will provide these details to the Contractor. Will be provided via Addendum. As has been requested the following is the material PSE&G will supply and the material we expect the Contractor to
		As has been requested the following is the material PSE&G will supply and the material we expect the Contractor to procure. PSE&G will provide the 24" steel pipe, 30" steel casing pipe, 2" casing vent pipe, any fittings and valves, joint
		restraints, end caps, expansion joint, link seals, centering cradles and casing Z-boot. PSE&G gas crews will do the stop-off and tie-in work with assistance from our pre-qualified contractor if necessary.
	Please refer to contract drawing C02-ST-2513 section B. Please confirm that all gas main pipe supports such as the rollers, steel strap plate, and roller chairs are to be supplied by PSEG as	We expect the Contractor to obtain the 36" galvanized sleeve for each backwall, pipe hanger supports on Utility Bridge, concrete culvert box walls & floor along with the two doors. To Contractor (through a PSE&G approved
164	stated in drawing C02-UT-2129 note number three. Please also confirm that this is the same situation for the adjacent watermain.	subcontractor) is required to install all the 24" pipe, 30" casing pipe, 2" casing vent pipes and the 24" x 16" tapping sleeve and thrust block at each tie-in location.
	Please refer to contract drawings C02-CT-2141 and C02-CX-2507 through C02-CX-2510. The cross sections do not seem to be representative of the grading plans such that the track	
165	embankment swales are not shown. Please provide more information.	Will be revised via Addendum. Section M on Drawing C02-ST-2520 shows 3'-6" footing is correct for abutment footing. For section N on the same
	Sections M and N on drawing C02-ST-2520 show 3'-6" thick footing, but sections F and I on	drawing, the upper step of the footing should be 3'-0" thick (wingwall footing) instead of 3'-6". This will be corrected. However, the lower step on section N remains as 3'-6". For sections F and I on drawing C02-ST-2532, 3'-
166	drawing CO2-ST-2532 show 3'-0" thick footing, please advise.	0" thick footing is correct. Will be revised via Addendum.

9

The consecution of the content of th			
Service of the content of the conten		the grading plan has embankment built in these areas. Please clarify what drawings the bidder is supposed to follow, so we can accurately calculate the amount of embankment fill needed. If	
Company of the process of the proces	167	built under this contract. Also, please accurately show limits of topsoil, and limits of stone swale	Will be revised via Addendum.
and the COP PRAIL Conclusions (1) INPY ADDRESS, proceeding proof of Address which the state of common four confidence in the control to a confidence of the		Sheet No. 14 appears to show a lot of shaded area that is clarified as "Area remediated with 12" stone aggregate cap over geotextile". Please confirm this geotextile and stone can remain in place	
Les best du colon de contract. Description of the colon o	168	Page 30 of the "C07 FINAL GeoCalculations 021109" document, provided as part of Addendum	cap.
photology of the copy. In the copy of the		to be built under this contract. Please confirm the following:	
100 to the course. 101 Shields Leg L, QuAN-Lel 30 indexes well consist from Francisco and the course of the cours		publishing of this report.	
we can also be professed when changed and performance of the company of the compa	169		a.) and b.) Confirmed
The control of the professioned vision change the objective control and an advanced on the control control and any and any and a state of the control control and any and any and a state of the control control and any and any and a state of the control control and any and any and a state of the control control and any and any and any		Bidders Log 12, Q&A No.133 indicates work can only take place 7am-3pm & 3pm-11pm shifts. MPT Plans Sheet No.85 indicates all lanes must be maintained 6am-10pm. Thus, certain work	
Description (Sub Art 11). The growth was subject in this proof the bases of the subject of the s		are unable to be performed without closing the adjacent lane. Confirm 3rd shift is allowed for	
and the combant hanger segment for the Ivalge. Black Controller Langerous File Street, and the control of the combant hanger segment for the Ivalge. Black Controller Langerous File Street, and the control of the co	170		
specific Monoco, copour of 11 starts that consultances within 15th of the supposed of the supp	171	is constructed by the Contractor and which part is constructed "By Others". Please clarify.	
April Part		required. However, response #118 states that encroachment within 50ft of the railroad ROW may require RR insurance.	
words, but is not fined in Addression D. On the country at "N is not home to active the part of the country and proposed under 1990 COV 2010 to the NOTION COV 2	172	b.Please see question #2 of February 24th below for additional information requested.	As of 03/29/2023 the reponse is "Yes, the Contractor will be required to provide RRPL.
Perfect continue of the antice below are required for the correct flowers and the Minds and the MDD SP of death, the sea to the Annaha district.		revised, but is not found in Addendum 7. On the contrary an "A" is not shown next to this	
146.D. Vin., makes below with more place for the current Bourse in about no mOST-250 are required.	173	Please confirm if anchor bolts are required for the curved fences as anchor bolts are shown on	Drawing C02-ST-2609 is not revised in this Addendum No. 9.
Sportfurnition section 03 90 00 - under peragraph 207 Administers – I indicated common imbilities production section 03 90 00 - under peragraph 207 Administers in concert mine, but introducted severage and seed and peragraph 207 Administers in concert mine, but introducted severage and seed and peragraph 207 Administers in concert mine, but introducted severage person per but by 10 of Administers in Concert mine, but introduced severage person per but by 10 of Administers for person pers	174		
Specification section 03 100 to — unter passagely 2.07 Administers — 1. influence to deal votal for the distriction of the project. The Temporary Processes Deal on Short 32 influence to the project of			Subsection 903.03 - Concrete of the NJDOT Standard Specification ("SS"). Per Subsection 903.03, corrosion inhibitor is required and shall be provided as per 903.02.05. Refer to 903.02.05 of NJDOTSS for the details of corrosion
The Temporary Porestent Dendi on Short 32 indicates that temporary priving is to be 10° of applications and the control of the control of time and the first in spirit and college graves for first or extended period of time and the first in spirit and college graves. Some of the control of the control of time and the first in spirit and college graves. Some of the control of the college graves are spirit and the college graves. Some of the college graves are spirit and the college graves. Some of the college graves are spirit and the college gra	177	Inhibiting Admixtures in concrete mixes, but structural drawings notes didn't call for this additive.	inhibitor admixture.
Sobbee, 10° of DiCA, and 2° of Show Matrix Applials. This section will have heavy teriffic beinding the side of the control period of the an early the prior in the first which will be provided to the provided of the provid	1/5		1300-2 on maxing C02-31-2101 indicates that 2001 3020155 will govern the construction of the project.
Barrier with Type By Jose (vol. Nature) and Type By Jose (vol. Nature) and the System		Subbase, 10" of DGA, and 2" of Stone Matrix Asphalt. This section will have heavy traffic including trucks driving over it for an extended period of time and the thin asphalt may not hold	
Usap Death". Can you confirm that this Type B jour class (with attached box beam) is what is bong called fire and affect (in one Contraction Barrier of the Religion day. When the State of Stat		Plan Page C02-TF-2106 (plan page 89), Stage 3 MPT depicts three (3) rows of Construction	Drawing C02-CT-2507 is revised by Addendum to reflect the typical pavement structure.
be Selice the exposed to be seen attachment will goe a danger to raffic? 17 you cannot confirm. The propose are revised plan gap down in the correct pair to great the confirment of the plan and possible down about \$7 (250). Notes 22 and 48 indicated: "For raffereement deals as sections II and 1/2 no the eff \$7 (250). Notes 22 and 48 indicated: "For raffereement deals as sections II and 1/2 no the eff \$7 (250). Notes 22 and 48 indicated: "For raffereement deals as sections III and 1/2 no the eff \$7 (250). Notes 22 and 48 indicated: "For raffereement deals as sections III and 1/2 no the eff \$7 (250). The proofs are yet that information related to Phear 1. Pranger, Fleen abive." The response to Question (250 states are revised drawing up the section in the gas are as true in or demonstrate in the plan and possible deals of the plan and possible deals of the plan and possible deals of the plan and possible deals from the engine provised as Reference Decuments. Pears confirm how man for the temperary Obertage as installed under the previous context. Pears Addendum 6, please provide more in-depth proceeding denish for ground utry and chain link free provides. The plan and possible denishing provides and provided are denished to the plan and possible denishing. The information was not extremely limited as within 2 F RCP casing beated under hopeword future track bod, for the sum of creates proving (201-212), king the more denished plan and the plan and possible denishing beat the plan and possible denishing beat the plan and possible denishing beat the plan and possible denished by the Volks approved contrastor. Please confirm fifth 2 F RCP constituted by the Volks approved contrastor. Paragraph RL, under Sextim 301 states that all water main poping passing over or under the America track of the third water of the plan and plan		Usage Detail". Can you confirm that this Type B joint class (with attached box beam) is what is	
shown sheef \$72.530. Nones of 2 and 4 indicated "for reinforcement detail see sections H and J and wheef \$72.5320. Nones of 2 and 4 indicated "for reinforcement of the 18 sections H and J and the state of the stat	177	believe the exposed box beam attachment will pose a danger to traffic? If you cannot confirm,	Revised by Addendum.
shown sheef \$72.530. Nones of 2 and 4 indicated "for reinforcement detail see sections H and J and wheef \$72.5320. Nones of 2 and 4 indicated "for reinforcement of the 18 sections H and J and the state of the stat		ID 6 Code AW ID ANOTH I I ANOTH I AN	
reinforcements 3-16-86,207 (ii) I. T. Max (E. F.) for the 6-7 high pumper, Structural Bar Schedule. The response to Question 103 states a revised drawing will be inseed to indicate an extra line of growing and the control of the c		shown sheet ST-2530. Notes #2 and #4 indicated " for reinforcement detail see sections H and	
Temporary Execution Support between the two abstracts for Stage 2.B. Please provide revised Prison of Confirms from small or stage and the stage of the Confirms from small or stage and the stage of the Confirms from small or stage and the stage of the Confirms from small or stage and the stage of the Confirms from the Stage and the stage of the Confirms from the Stage and the Stage of the Confirms from the Stage and the Stage of the Stage of the Confirms from the Stage and the Stage of the Stag	178	reinforcement $8-16SA26T @ 12$ " Max (EF) for the 6 '- 6 " high parapet, Structural Bar Schedule sheet ST-2607 didn't provide any rebar information related to Phase 1 – Parapet. Please advise."	Revised by Addendum.
Peace continue how much of the temporary aboring was installed under the previous contract Per Addecutum & please provides one in-depth grounding details for ground styra and chain link Per Addecutum & please provides more in-depth grounding details for ground styra and chain link details and the provides of	179	Temporary Excavation Support between the two abutments for Stage 2B. Please provide revised	Revised by Addendum
On Contract Drawing CD2-UT-2126 from the original drawing set in both the plan and profile drawings shows the new 12" water on the west side of Torontel-Ave being connected to existing 12" water main previously installed with a "Re Contago Installe	180	Please confirm how much of the temporary shoring was installed under the previous contract Per Addendum 6, please provide more in-depth grounding details for ground strip and chain link	Refer to the as-built drawings provided as Reference Documents.
drawing abows the new 12" water on the west side of Tomolic Ave being connected to existing 12" water main previously installed with a 2" Ref Cenning bested under the proposed fitter truck bot 0.0 the same Centract Drawing (12-47-126; used under Addendum 8" now shows 1822 and 1924	181		drawings.
track bod. On the same Contract Drawing CO2-UT-2126, issued under Addendum #7 now shows installing all new 12 "were train through the 24" RCP casing. Please confirm this as an econfirm this as a contract to the strain through the 24" RCP casing please confirm this as a least part of the work to be installed by the Veolus approved contractor." Please confirm if the 24" RCP cassing please show on Contract Drawing CO2-UT-2126 was previously installed under the ARC contract or if it is to be installed by the gereal contractor or if it as to be installed by the gereal contractor or if it as part of the Veolus water main work. Landy, Specification Section 33100 Water Main Work Page 5 and the Veolus water main work. Landy, Specification Section 33100 Water Main Work Page 5 and Page 4 and Page 5 and Page 4 and Page 5 and Page 4 and		drawings shows the new 12" water on the west side of Tonnelle Ave. being connected to existing	
Please confirm if the 24' RCP easing pipe shown on Contract Drawing CO2-LT-2126 was previously installed under the ARC contract or it is to be installed please confirm if this will be installed by the general contractor of it is part of the Veolia water main work. Lastly, Specification Section 3101 Water Main Work Pag 5 Paragraph H., under Section 3.01 states that all water main piping passing over or under the Amratar krack shall be reasoned in a stell casing pipi, if this is the case then the existing or proposed 24'' RCP material does not meet the contract specifications, that being said please is contracted to the contract specifications, that being said please is contracted to the contract specification section 34' 7119.13 – Traffe Stripes and Markings he used on the PPC overlay is completed. 185. Work the stripping te applied after curring is completed. 186. Work the stripping te applied after curring is completed. 187. We have the stripping te applied after curring is completed. 188. Work the stripping te applied after curring is completed. 189. Work the stripping te applied after curring is exceeded updated to transful the stripping te applied after curring is completed. 189. Work the stripping te applied after curring is exceeded updated transful to the pudated to match harm arms kizes. We received updated drivings per Addemdum # 07 – Drawing \$T2-206 and still column #2 haart be updated, be received updated drivings per Addemdum # 07 – Drawing \$T2-206 and still column #2 haart be updated, be received updated drivings per Addemdum # 07 – Drawing \$T2-206 and still column #2 haart be updated, be received updated drivings per Addemdum #07 – Drawing \$T2-206 and still column #2 haart be updated, be received updated drivings per Addemdum #07 – Drawing \$T2-206 and still column #2 haart be updated, be added to the stripping he applied after curring to the stripping he updated to match harm and king the stripping he updated to match harm and king the stripping he updated to match harm and king the strippin		track bed. On the same Contract Drawing C02-UT-2126, issued under Addendum #7 now shows installing all new 12" water main through the 24" RCP casing. Please confirm this is an accurate	
is to be installed please confirm if this will be installed by the general contractor or it's part of the Veoliu water main work. Lastly, Specification Section 3101 Water Main Work Plage 5 Paragraph H, under Section 3.01 states that all water main piping passing over or under the Amtrak tracks shall be encessed in a set eclassing pept, if this is the sea then the existing or proposed 24° RCP material does not meet the contract specifications, that being said please confirm what material is to be used and if the existing RCP is in place how is the removal being paid? Would the same strping indicated in the Specification Section 34 71 19.13 – Traffic Stripes and St. Marking be used on the PPC overlay? Would the same strping indicated in the Specification Section 34 71 19.13 – Traffic Stripes and St. Marking be used on the PPC overlay? Per Bidder log — Previous question #141 and response, Bar sizes indicated by Marks are correct, Column 2 for but size will be updated to match but mark sizes, We received updated drawings per Addendum #07 – Drawing \$12-706 and still column \$21 has 10 to updated. For carepier Stem reinforcement (Stages 2 and 3) Bar Mark 198.027 – Bar size 16 x Bar number 6 x 13 -8-12° Bar length, shouldn't bar size be 19.* Sections A and D on sheet \$T-2526 indicated a concret fill class B should be installed between Abatramen footings, bottom of lower footing at section A is 12. 304.93 and to go of footing is 12.004.84 and bottom of upper footing at section A is 12. 304.93 and to go of footing is 12.004.84 and bottom of upper footing at section A is 12. 304.93 and to go of footing is 12.004.94 and bottom of upper footing at section A is 12. 304.93 and to go of footing is 12.004.94 and bottom of upper footing at section A is 12. 304.93 and to go of footing is 12.004.94 and bottom of upper footing at section A is 12. 304.93 and to go of footing is 12.004.94 and bottom of upper footing at section A is 12. 304.93 and to go of footing is 12.004.94 and bottom of upper footing at section A is 12. 304.9		Please confirm if the 24" RCP casing pipe shown on Contract Drawing C02-UT-2126 was	Will be updated via Addendum.
Paragraph H., under Section 3.01 states that all water main piping passing over or under the Antirak tracks shall be encaded in a set casting pip; if this is the case then the existing or proposed 24° KCP material does not meet the contract specifications, that being said please confirm what material is to be used and if the existing RCP is na place how is the removal being paid? Would the surrice and in the contract specifications, that being said please confirm what material is to be used and if the existing RCP is na place how is the removal being paid? Would the surrice indicated in the Specification Section 34 71 19.13 – Traffic Stripes and Mountain the property of the stripping being specified in the Specification Section 34 71 19.13 – Traffic Stripes and Mountain the stripping be applied after curing is complete? Would the surricip be specified and response, Bar sizes indicated by Marks are correct, Column 2 for bar size will be updated to match bar mark sizes. We received updated drawings per Addendum #07 – Drawing \$T.2660 and still column \$2 has been sizes indicated by Marks are correct, Column 2 for bar size will be updated to match bar mark sizes. We received updated drawings per Addendum #07 – Drawing \$T.2660 and still column \$2 has bridge being \$1.374.278. Bar length, shouldn't bar size be 19. " Sections A and D on sheet \$T.2526 indicated a concrete fill class B should be installed between Abutment footings, bottom of lower footing as section A is El. 304.93 and up of footing is \$1.211.43.279. Please advise for the followings: an Jif the concrete fill will be stripped footing in \$2.500 and the stripped per footing \$2.500 and to other stripped footing \$2.500 and the stripped per footing \$2.500 and the stripped		it is to be installed please confirm if this will be installed by the general contractor or if is part of	
confirm what material is to be used and if the existing RCP is in place how is the removal being paid? 184 Confirmed that the outer casing for the water main will be RCP. Would the same striping indicated in the Specification Section 34 71 19.13 — Traffic Stripes and Markings be used on the PPC overlay? 186 Would the same striping indicated in the Specification Section 34 71 19.13 — Traffic Stripes and Markings be used on the PPC overlay? 187 Would the striping be applied after curing is complete? 188 Would the striping be applied after curing is complete? 189 Per Bidder log — Previous question 141 and response, Bar sizes indicated by Marks are correct, Column 2 for bar size will be updated to match but mark sizes. We reviewed updated drawings per Addendum 80 — Drawing \$71-206 and size local mark sizes. We reviewed updated drawings per Addendum 80 — Drawing \$71-206 and size local the updated. For example: Stem reinforcement (Stages 2 and 3) Bar Mark 198.02T — Bar size 16 x Bar number 6 x 13°-8-12" 187 Bar length , shouldn't bar size be 19.* Sections A and D on sheet \$71-252 indicated a concrete fill class B should be installed between Abutment footings, bottom of lower footing at section A is El. 304.93 and top of footing is El. 1314.3; Please advise for the followings: a) If the concrete fill will be installed to match the indicated elevations above? For what extent on plan should be provided? The length of lower footing of the south abutment side is approx. 230 per sheet ST-2523, bloud the area between the South and North Abutment footings be excavated to El. 307.93 *7 b) per sheet ST-2523, the area between the South and North Abutment footings be excavated to El. 307.93 *7 b) per sheet ST-2523, structural pier sections and details — the bottom of pier footing is at El. 308.43; is the excavation for the concrete fill on question (a) above to stop by the boundary of pier footing so Per Structural pier sections and details — the bottom of pier footing is a Hz 13.08.43; is the excavation for the c		Paragraph H., under Section 3.01 states that all water main piping passing over or under the Amtrak tracks shall be encased in a steel casing pipe, if this is the case then the existing or	
184 Confirmed that the outer casing for the water main will be RCP.	183	confirm what material is to be used and if the existing RCP is in place how is the removal being paid?	Will be updated via Addendum.
Would the striping be applied after curing is complete? Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is complete. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is can be applied after curing for the substitution of the control of the sould adminished the striping can be applied after curing for PPC overlay is can be applied after curing for exempted and the striping can be applied after curing for exempted after curing for exempted after curing per added and the striping be applied after curing size. Yes, the striping can be applied after curing Yes, the striping per added after curing Yes, the striping Yes, the striping per added after curing Yes, the striping Yes, the striping Yes, t	184	Confirmed that the outer casing for the water main will be RCP. Would the same striping indicated in the Specification Section 34 71 19.13 – Traffic Stripes and	Will be updated via Addendum.
Column 2 for bur size will be updated to match bar mark sizes. We received updated drawings per Addendum # 07 — Drawings T-2066 and still column # 2 has not be updated, for example: Stem reinforcement (Stages 2 and 3) Bar Mark 198A02T — Bar size 16 x Bar number 6 x 13'-8-1/2" Bar length , shouldn't bar size be 19 ." Sections A and D on sheet ST-2526 indicated a concrete fill class B should be installed between Abutment footings, bottom of lower footing at section A is El. 30.493 and top of footing is El 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.493 and top of footing is El 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.493 and top of footing is El 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.979 and top of footing is El 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.979 and top of footing is El 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.979 and top of footing is El 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.979 and top of footing is El. 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.843 and bottom or upper footing at section A is El. 30.843 and bottom control and bottom and bot		Would the striping be applied after curing is complete?	
Bar length, shouldn't bar size be 19." Revised by Addendum. Sections A and D on sheet ST-2526 indicated a concrete fill class B should be installed between Abutment footings, bottom of lower footing at section A is El. 304.93 and top of footing is El.308.43° and bottom of upper footing at section D is El. 307.93 and top of footing is El.311.43.' Please advise for the followings: a) If the concrete fill will be installed to match the indicated elevations above? For what extent on plan should be provided? The length of lower footing of the south abutment side is approx. 33.0° per sheet ST-2525, which are are between the South and North Abutment footings be excavated to El. 304.93, then outside of these lengths limit to be excavated to El. 307.93° ? b) For sheet ST-2535, Should he are between the bound and North Abutment footings be excavated to El. 304.93, then outside of these lengths limit to be excavated to El. 307.93° ? b) For sheet ST-2535, Should he are between the bound and you have been described by the south and south and the state of the south and North Abutment footings be excavated to El. 304.93, then outside of these lengths limit to be excavated to El. 307.93° ? b) For sheet ST-2535, Should have not stop by the boundary of pier footing is at El. 308.43°. Is the excavation for the concrete fill on question (a) above to stop by the boundary of pier footing ? b) Please provided (1) structural plan with selected sections for the entire bridge footings (North Abutment / South Abutment / Pier to show the layout of footings and concrete fill areas with thickness and elevations? Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CU2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is shown in bold indicating it is new guide rail to be installed nowever it is on the dwg labelled: Civil - Existing Conditions Plan*, If this length is existing as opposed to new and therefore excluded from the quy, please revise the Bid Form - Irem No. 34.1 to reflect the reduction in the excensive plan of the casing is a 25° min. from the c		Column 2 for bar size will be updated to match bar mark sizes, We received updated drawings per Addendum # 07 – Drawing ST-2606 and still column #2 hasn't be updated, For example: Stem	
Abutment footings, bottom of lower footing at section A is B. 304.93 and top of footing is B.	187	reinforcement (Stages 2 and 3) Bar Mark 19SA02T – Bar size 16 x Bar number 6 x 13'-8-1/2" Bar length , shouldn't bar size be 19 ."	Revised by Addendum.
Abutment footings, bottom of lower footing at section A is B. 304.93 and top of footing is B.			
Please advise for the followings: a) If the concrete fill will be installed to match the indicated elevations above! For what extent on plan should be provided? The length of lower footing of the south abutment side is approx. \$3.0° per sheet \$17-252.0°, the length of lower footing of the North abutment side is approx. \$2.0° per sheet \$17-252.0°, the length of lower footing of the North Abutment footings be excavated to El. 304.93, then outside of these lengths limit to be excavated to El. 307.93"? b) Per sheet \$17-253. Should be area between the boundary of pier footing is at El. 308.43. Is the excavation for the concrete fill on question (a) above to stop by the boundary of pier footing? c) Please provided (c) structural plan with selected sections for the entire bridge footings (North Abutment / South Abutment / Pier to show the layout of footings and concrete fill areas with thickness and elevations? Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is shown in bold indicating it is new guide rail to be installed however it is on the dwg labelled: 1. The Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is shown in bold indicating it is new guide rail to be installed however it is on the dwg labelled: 1. The beam guide rail is new. Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is on the dwg labelled: 1. The beam guide rail is new. Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is on the dwg labelled: 1. The beam guide rail is new. Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is on the dwg labelled: 1. The beam guide rail is new. Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is on the dwg labelled: 1. The beam guide rail is new. Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is on the wight by a shown in bold indicating it is new; and t		Abutment footings, bottom of lower footing at section A is El. 304.93 and top of footing is	
south abutment side is approx. 53.0° per sheet ST-2520. We length of lower footing of the North abutment side is approx. 72.0° per sheet ST-2523, Should be area between the South and North Abutment footings be excavated to El. 304.93, then outside of these lengths limit to be excavated to El. 307.93"? b) Per sheet ST-2535 Structural pier sections and details — the bottom of pier footing is at El. 308.43. Is the excavated in Gland and the bottom of pier footing is at El. 308.43. Is the excavation for the concrete fill on question (a) above to stop by the boundary of pier footing ? b) Please provide (d) I structural plan with selected sections for the entire bridge footings (North Abutment / South Abutment / Pier to show the layout of footings and concrete fill areas with tickness and elevations? Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is shown in bold indicating it is new guide rail to be installed however it is on the drug helbeld: 1 CVoil - Existing Conditions Plan . If this length is existing as opposed to new and therefore excluded from the quy, please review the Bid Form - Item No. 34.1 to reflect the reduction in With just over 3' or cover, a 24" RPC PLoss Ill pipe may not withstand an Elso Bonding consiste of the proposed of the proposed proposed to the proposed proposed to the constraint of the proposed proposed to the proposed pr		,Please advise for the followings: a) If the concrete fill will be installed to match the indicated	
to El 307.397 2b) Per sheet ST-2535 Structural pier sections and details – the bottom of pier footing is at El. 108.437 2b the exexuation for the concrete fill on question (a) above to stop by the boundary of pier footing ?c) Please provide (1) structural plan with selected sections for the entire bridge footings (North Abutment / Pier to show the layout of footings and concrete fill areas with thickness and devations? Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG CO2-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is shown in bold indicating it is new guide rail to be installed however it is on the dwg labelled: Civil - Existing Conditions Plan: If this length is existing as opposed to new and therefore easily plane from the devation of the dwg labelled: With just over 3' of cover, a 24" RCP Class III pipe may not withstand an E80 loading; consister (a) Different class pipe, (b) Steel casing, (c) Lower elevation, (d) Confirm that the length of the casing is beyond the influence lines of the track: With just over 3' of cover, a 24" RCP Class III pipe may not withstand an E80 loading; consister (a) Different class pipe, (b) Steel casing, (c) Lower elevation, (d) Confirm that the length of the casing is beyond the influence lines of the track: Would the same stripping indicated in Eppes section 347 11 [9.13] be used on the PPC overlay? Yes Yes		south abutment side is approx. 53.0° per sheet ST-2520, the length of lower footing of the North abutment side is approx. 72.0° per sheet ST-2523, Should the area between the South and North	
boundary of pier footing ?c) Please provide (1) structural plan with selected sections for the entire bridge footings (North Abutment / South		to El. 307.93' ? b) Per sheet ST-2535 Structural pier sections and details - the bottom of pier	
Revised by Addendum. Revised by Addendum. Revised by Addendum. Revised by Addendum. Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG C02-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is shown in bold indicating it is new guide rail to be installed however it is on the dwg labelled: TCvil - Existing Conditions Plan: If this length is existing as opposed to new and therefore Revised by Addendum. The Deam guide rail is new. The Deam guide rail is new		boundary of pier footing? c) Please provide (1) structural plan with selected sections for the entire bridge footings (North Abutment / South Abutment / Pier to show the layout of footings and	
Tivil - Existing Conditions Plan." If this length is existing as opposed to new and therefore exhallowed from the up. please review the Bid Form - term No. 3.4 1 to reflect the reduction in the reduction in Wish just over 3' of cover, a 24" RCP Class III pipe may not withstand an E80 loading; consider (a) Different class pipe, (b) Steel casing, (c) Lower elevation, (d) Confirm that the length of the casing is beyond the influence lines of the track. 191 Would the summe striping indicated in Expess section 3.47 it 19.13] be used on the PPC overlay? Yes Yes	188	concrete fill areas with thickness and elevations ? Please confirm if the beam guide rail shown on DWG C02-CT-2701 is new or existing. It is	Revised by Addendum.
With just over 3 of cover, a 24" RCP Class III pipe may not withstand an E80 loading; consider (a) Different class pipe, (b) Steel casing, (c) Lower elevation, (d) Confirm that the length of the casing is beyond the influence lines of the track. 191 Would the same striping indicated in Spee section 34 71 19.13] be used on the PPC overlay? Yes Yes	189	"Civil - Existing Conditions Plan". If this length is existing as opposed to new and therefore	The beam guide rail is new.
190 casing is beyond the influence lines of the track. by Amtrak (see drawing C02-UT-2)26). 191 Would the same striping indicated in [Spec section 34 71 19.13] be used on the PPC overlay? Yes 192 Would the striping be applied after curing is complete? Yes		With just over 3' of cover, a 24" RCP Class III pipe may not withstand an E80 loading; consider (a) Different class pipe, (b) Steel casing, (c) Lower elevation, (d) Confirm that the length of the	That cover is about 8' BELOW BOTTOM OF THE LOWEST RR TIES (318.06), not just 3'. 318-308-2 = 8'>>5.5' (min. required by Amtrak) The RCP type and length of the casing is 25' min. from the centerline of tracks, as required
	191	casing is beyond the influence lines of the track.` Would the same striping indicated in [Spec section 34 71 19.13] be used on the PPC overlay?	by Amtrak (see drawing C02-UT-2126). Yes

	Bidder's Question Log response #117, please note that payment for reuse of existing fill was not	Any re-used fill will be paid under Bid Item 31.7. Specification Section 31 23 50 will be revised accordingly by
194	addressed within the revised spec section 31 23 50.	addendum.
	Bidder's Question Log response #128, please note spec section 02 22 10 has not yet been	
	provided. a. Based on the original question and the number of agencies involved in the review	
	process, for scheduling purposes, please state the number of calendar days the Contractor is to	Any re-used fill will be paid under Bid Item 31.7. Specification Section 31 23 50 will be revised accordingly by
195	assume for review and turnaround of all submissions.	Addendum No. 9.
	The Response to Question 117 on the bidders log states that specification section 31 23 50 will be	
	modified by addendum to remove the provision for clean fill. The revised spec section 31 23 50	
	issued with addendum 7 did not address this, as sections 2.01 A and B from this revised spec still	
	indicate that excavated material can still be reused for embankment and backfill. Please clarify	Any re-used fill will be paid under Bid Item 31.7. Specification Section 31 23 50 will be revised accordingly by
196	whether excavated material can be reused on site or not.	Addendum No. 9.
	* Please note - The responses in red have been revised.	